

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office \* Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,772	01/23/2002	Willem Den Boer	8733.214.20	7226
30827 MCKENNA I	7590 10/09/2007 ONG & ALDRIDGE LLP		EXAMINER	
1900 K STREET, NW			TRINH, MICHAEL MANH	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006	·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2822	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/052,772	BOER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Trinh	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	' IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH	I(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,				
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		*				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 July 2007.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 July 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	y (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	гасен Аррисацоп				

Application/Control Number: 10/052,772

Art Unit: 2822

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

\*\*\* This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment and Terminal Disclaimer filed July 10, 2007. Claims 1-17 were canceled. Claims 18-29 are currently pending.

\*\*\* The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

2. Claims 18-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,372,534 and claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,376,270.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to the same subject matter for forming a thin film transistor array, whereby the present base claims 18,22 and 26 of this application are broad enough to encompass the scope of claims 1, 5 and 9 of the Patent No. 6,372,534, respectively, and claims 1-10 of Patent no. 6,376,270, and wherein claims 18-29 are respectively anticipated by claims 1-12 of the Patent No. 6,372,534. Employing an organic insulating film or a photo-imageable polyimide insulating film having low dielectric constant for reducing crosstalk in forming a liquid crystal display device would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art, wherein alternatively using a photosensitive polyimide layer or a non-photosensitive polyimide layer is well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,772

Art Unit: 2822

Page 3

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

### **Double Patenting**

- 3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).
- 4. Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 9-12 of the U.S. Patent Numbers 6,372,534, in which Claims 26-29 are identical to claims 9-12, respectively. This is a double patenting rejection.

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

### Response to Amendment

- 5. Replacements of the drawing sheets filed on July 10, 2007 are approved and accepted.
- 6. The terminal disclaimer filed on July 10, 2007 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent Numbers 6,372,534 and 6,376,270 have been reviewed and is NOT accepted, since the Attorney is not of record and there is no registration number provided therein.

An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

Accordingly, the obviousness-type double patenting rejection is maintained.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael M. Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1847. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 Am to 5:30 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on (571) 272-2429. The central fax phone number is (571) 273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Oacs-

Michael Trinh Primary Examiner

wel Sil





Replacement Drawings of Figs. 1-11
USSN 10/052,772
Group Art Unit: 2822
Sheet I of 7

Respondents.

